

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office 'Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,027	04/19/2001	David A. Holcomb	200017.432	2394
500	7590 09/30/2002			,
SEED INTE	LLECTUAL PROPERT	Y LAW GROUP PLLC	EXAM	INER
701 FIFTH A' SUITE 6300			BRAY, WA	YMOND D
SEATTLE, W	A 98104-7092		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/839,027

Applicant(s)

Holcomb, et al

## Office Action Summary

Examiner

W. Donald Bray

Art Unit 3725

Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply pecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply writhin the set or extended period for reply will, by statutus, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than there menths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will apply app
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will epply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failura to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.s.C. § 1 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☑ Claims 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claims 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)
4a) Of the above, claim(s)
5) ☐ Claim(s)
6) ☐ Claim(s)
6) ☐ Claim(s)
7) ☐ Claim(s)
8) Claims 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/839,027

Art Unit: 3725

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

As for example, the species of Figs. 1; 4 and 5 respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 20 and 37 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/839,027

Art Unit: 3725

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to W. Donald Bray at telephone number 703-308-1871.

W. D. Bray

September 26, 2002